8	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/944,064	GAUDET ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.	(3)	
(2) <u>Luke A. Kilyk</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: The rejections of record		
Claims discussed: 1, 39, 41 and 46		
Prior art documents discussed: The art applied		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated the interview to propose amendments to place the case in condition for allowance. It was apparent to the examiner that page 7, lines 11-14; page 8, lines 18-20; and page 10, lines 7-15 indicated important aspects of the invention. The examiner proposed the changes to claims 1, 39, 41, and 46 to incorporate these aspects and thereby place the case in condition for allowance. Luke A. Kilyk then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment.